arXiv:1608.01199v1 [math.DS] 3 Aug 2016

PERSISTENT MARKOV PARTITIONS AND HYPERBOLIC COMPONENTS OF RATIONAL MAPS

MARY REES

Abstract. Markov partitions persisting in a neighbourhood of hyperbolic components of rational maps were constructed under the condition that closures of Fatou components are disjoint in [16]. Given such a partition, we characterize all nearby hyperbolic components in terms of the symbolic dynamics. This means we can count them, and also obtain topological information. We also determine extra conditions under which all nearby type IV hyperbolic components are given by matings. These are probably the first known results of this type.

1. Introduction

Studies in complex dynamics are invariably as much about variation of dynamics in a parameter space as about the dynamics of individual maps in the parameter space. This an attractive area of study because of the rich variation, even in parameter spaces of small dimension: complex dimension one is usually ample. The low dimension is one reason why the study is also relatively tractable. Another reason is the availability of extremely useful tools, of which the Yoccoz puzzle is a key example. The Yoccoz puzzle is a sequence of successively finer Markov partitions (obtained by backwards iteration of a single Markov partition), and the use of Markov partitions is of course ubiquitous in dynamics. There is an associated Yoccoz "parapuzzle", a sequence of successively finer partitions of parameter space. This illustrates the most basic principle in dynamics, that information about parameter space near a map f can be obtained from the dynamics of f. In complex dynamics, this informations is often remarkably complete. There have been a number of generalisations of the Yoccoz puzzle and parapuzzle, stretching back some 20 years. See, for example, Roesch [21]. In [16] we gave a rather general construction of a Markov partition for a geometrically finite rational map for which all Fatou components are topological discs and have disjoint closures. We use the following definition.

Definition A *Markov partition for* f is a set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \cdots P_r\}$ such that:

- $\overline{\text{int}(P_i)} = P_i$;
- P_i and P_j have disjoint interiors if $i \neq j$;
- $\cup_{i=1}^{r} P_i = \overline{\mathbb{C}}$;

- each P_i is a union of connected components of $f^{-1}(P_j)$ for varying j.

If the sets P_i are closed topological discs such that the intersection of any two is at most a finite union of topological intervals, then $G = \cup_{i=1}^{r} \partial P_i$ is a connected graph with finitely many edges and vertices satisfying $G \subset f^{-1}(G)$. Conversely, given a connected graph G with finitely many edges and vertices, and such that all complementary components are topological discs, the set of closures of the complementary components of G is a Markov partition consisting of closed topological discs with boundaries which intersect in at most finitely many topological intervals. The construction we gave showed that a graph satisfying certain mild conditions can be approximated arbitrarily closely by an isotopic graph G' such that $G' \subset f^{-N}(G')$ for some integer N, and that some $G \subset \cup_{i \geq 0} f^{-i}(G')$ satisfies all the above properties.

In section 3 of [16], we restricted to a geometrically finite map f of degree 2, for which the closures of the Fatou components were disjoint closed topological discs (as above), and a one-dimensional parameter space V_k of rational maps g including f, with numbered critical points $c_1(g)$ and $c_2(g)$, with $c_1(g)$ of period k under g, quotiented by Möbius conjugacy preserving numbering of critical points. We started with a graph G with $G \subset f^{-1}(G)$ and investigated the associated partitions of parameter space, locally near f. We were allowing f, there, to have a parabolic cycle, but could equally well have considered a neighbourhood of the closure of a hyperbolic component, by starting from a hyperbolic map f, and making an initial choice of graph disjoint from the closures of periodic Fatou components. We showed in [16] how to use backward iterates of G to obtain successively finer partitions of the parameter space near f. In this paper we want to extend some aspects of this study. In particular, we want to consider *type IV* hyperbolic components in a neighbourhood in V_k of the closure of the hyperbolic component of f, and to study the correspondence between these hyperbolic components and the periodic points of f. A *type IV* hyperbolic component, is a component of hyperbolic quadratic rational maps which which there are two distinct periodic cycles of Fatou components — for which, of course, each cycle has to contain one of the two critical points. The first result of the paper concerning this is 2.4. This theorem is a characterisation of the hyperbolic components in terms of the symbolic dynamics, and complements the results of [16], which associated symbolic dynamics to all maps admitting the Markov partition.

We also want to consider connections with known topological models of parts of parameter space, and, in particular, with matings. Mating was

invented by Douady and Hubbard in the 1980's. It gives a way of constructing some rational maps, up to topological conjugacy, and something more in some cases, from a pair of polynomials of the same degree, with locally connected Julia sets. In particular, a pair of hyperbolic critically finite quadratic polynomials which are not in conjugate limbs of the Mandelbrot set can be mated, and the mating is a critically periodic rational map, up to topological conjugacy. It is known that not all type IV hyperbolic quadratic rational maps are represented up to topological conjugacy by matings , although there are some slices of parameter space, and some parts of slices of parameter space for which it is true. It is true in V_2, as is pointed out in one of the early results in [2]. It is also true in some regions of V_3, as pointed out in [17]. One of the aims of the present paper is consider the question locally, in particular, to consider hyperbolic components near the closure of a hyperbolic component, and ask whether all type IV hyperbolic components, which are sufficiently near, are conjugate to matings — or are not all so conjugate. We shall find examples where all nearby type IV hyperbolic components are indeed represented by matings. These are new examples, as we shall be considering rational maps for which the closures of Fatou components are all disjoint. Our condition will be that the lamination equivalence relation, associated to the mating representing a hyperbolic critically finite rational map — of whose closure of hyperbolic component we are taking a neighbourhood — is particularly simple. Counterexamples, for which it is definitely not true that all nearby hyperbolic components are represented by matings, are harder to prove completely, simply because there are a lot of matings available, and current techniques make it very hard to discount all of them. But there are plenty of candidates for which all nearby hyperbolic components are unlikely to be represented by matings, and for which the results of Section 2 do give a description of all nearby hyperbolic components in terms of symbolic dynamics.

This has a bearing on the question of continuity of mating. Because of well-known, but unpublished, examples of Adam Epstein, it is known to be a problem to try to use this model on a space of complex dimension two, even near a geometrically finite map with a parabolic periodic point. But restricted to slices of complex dimension one, there is a chance that the combinatorial model is the right one in some parts of the parameter space. This is a question that has been considered and answered by Ma Liangang in his thesis [13], under the sort of conditions imposed, and by the sort of techniques used, in this paper. Rather comprehensive continuity results have been proved in the very different case of V_2 — where the union of closures of Fatou components is $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ — by Dudko [9].

2. Basic set-up

2.1. We write V_k for the set of quadratic rational maps f, with numbered critical points $c_1(f)$ and $c_2(f)$, quotiented by Möbius conjugacy preserving numbering of critical points, and with $c_1(f)$ of period k. Our rational map f will usually be a hyperbolic post-critically-finite quadratic rational map. A hyperbolic quadratic post-critically-finite rational map is in V_k for some $k \geq 1$, and is of *type II, III or IV*. Here, *type II*, means that both critical points are in the same periodic orbit, *type III* means that one critical point, say $c_1(f)$, is periodic and the other, $c_2(f)$, is strictly periodic, and *type IV* means that the two critical points are in distinct periodic orbits. Any hyperbolic component intersecting V_k, for $k > 1$, contains a unique post-critically-finite map, and is accordingly described as being of type II, III or IV.

We make the condition that the closures of all Fatou components of f are disjoint. Equivalently, we can stipulate that the closures of periodic Fatou components are disjoint. For $f \in V_k$, this is only possible if $k \geq 3$.

There are then many choices of piecewise smooth graph $G_0 = G_0(f) \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, with finitely many edges and vertices, such that:

a) G_0 does not intersect the closure of any periodic Fatou component of f, and the intersection of G_0 with any Faou component is connected;
b) the components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_0$ are all open topological discs, and their closures are all closed topological discs;
c) Any component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_0$ contains at most one periodic Fatou component of f;
d) any edge of G_0 has two distinct endpoints, and exactly three edges of G_0, meet at any vertex;
e) the closures of any two components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G_0$ intersect in at most one edge of G_0, including endpoints.

Theorem 1.2 of [16] then provides the existence of a graph G' isotopic and arbitrarily close to G_0 such that $G' \subset f^{-N}(G')$, where N is an integer which is bounded in terms of the degree of closeness required, and also provides the existence of a graph $G = G(f)$ with finitely many vertices and edges, satisfying $G \subset \bigcup_{i \geq 0} f^{-i}(G')$, with $G \subset f^{-1}(G)$. Then the set $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(f)$ of closures of components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G$ is a Markov partition. It is standard, in dynamics, to encode points by admissible words in the elements of a Markov partition. A word $P_{i_0} \cdots P_{i_n}$, with $P_{i_j} \in \mathcal{P}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$, is *admissible* if $\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} f^{-j}(P_{i_j}) \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, an infinite word $P_{i_0} P_{i_1} \cdots$ is admissible if $\bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{-j}(P_{i_j}) \neq \emptyset$. If $\mathcal{P}$ is a Markov partition, as defined here, then $P_{i_0} \cdots P_{i_n}$ is admissible if and only if $P_{i_j} \cap f^{-1}(P_{i_{j+1}}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $0 \leq j < n$,

and, similarly $P_{i_0}P_{i_1}\cdots$ is admissible if and only if $P_{i_j}\cap f^{-1}(P_{i_{j+1}})\neq\emptyset$ for all $j\geq 0$.

Under our hypotheses, any set in $\mathcal{P}$ contains at most one periodic Fatou component. We write $P^n(v_i)=P^n(f,v_i)$ for the set in $\mathcal{P}_n(f)$ which contains $v_i(f)=f(c_i(f))$. Assuming that f is hyperbolic post-critically-finite, either v_2 is periodic of some period m, or v_2 is in the backward orbit of v_1, in which case we write $m=k$. In both cases, we can assume that $P^{j+1}(f,v_1)\subset \mathrm{int}(P^j(f,v_1))$ for $0\leq i\leq k-1$ by ensuring a similar condition for the components of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}\setminus G_0(f)$ containing the Fatou components intersecting the forward orbit of c_1, which can itself be done, for example, by using the δ-neighbourhood of the closure of these Fatou components for a suitable $\delta>0$, using the Poincaré metric. We then also have $P^{j+1}(v_1)\subset\mathrm{int}(P^j(v_1))$ for all $j\geq 0$. Similarly, we can ensure that $P^{j+1}(v_2)\subset\mathrm{int}(P^j(v_2))$ for all $j\geq 0$. We will also choose G_0 so that the image under f of any set in $\mathcal{P}(f)$ which contains a periodic Fatou component, also contains a single periodic Fatou component. The theorem in [16] then ensures that the same is true for G.

If $P_{i_0}P_{i_1}\cdots$ is an infinite admissible word, then any component of

$$\bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{-j}(P_{i_j})$$

is either a Fatou component or a point. If some component is a Fatou component, then the word must be eventually periodic, of the same eventual period as the Fatou component. Further, under the given hypotheses, $\bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{-j}(P_{i_j})$ is either a finite union of Fatou components or is totally disconnected. At least in some cases, $\bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{-j}(P_{i_j})$ has more than one component. For let $z\in P^0(v_i)\setminus\{v_i\}$. Write $P^0(v_i)=P_{i_1}$ and let P_{i_0} be the set of $\mathcal{P}$ with $f^{-1}(P_{i_1})\subset P_{i_0}$. Let P_{i_ℓ} be the set of $\mathcal{P}$ with $f^{\ell-1}(v_i)\in P_{i_\ell}$, so that $z\in\bigcap_{\ell=0}^{\infty} f^{-\ell}(P_{i_{\ell+1}})$. Then the two elements z_1 and z_2 of $f^{-1}(z)$ are both in $\bigcap_{\ell=0}^{\infty} f^{-\ell}(P_{i_\ell})$.

We can reduce the number of points represented by at least some words, by considering words in $\mathcal{P}_n=\mathcal{P}_n(f)=\bigvee_{i=0}^{n} f^{-i}(\mathcal{P})$, where the sets in the partition $\mathcal{P}_n(f)$ are the components of non-empty sets $\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} f^{-j}(P_{i_j})$. If $Q_{i_0}\cdots Q_{i_p}$ is a word with letters in $\mathcal{P}_n$, and $Q_{i_j}\subset P_{i_j}\in\mathcal{P}$ and Q_{i_p} is a component of $\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} f^{-j}(P_{i_{p+j}})$ then

$$\bigcap_{j=0}^{p} f^{-j}(Q_{i_j})\subset\bigcap_{j=0}^{p+n} f^{-j}(P_{i_j}),$$

and if $\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} f^{-j}(P_{i_{p+j}})$ is not connected, then $\bigcap_{j=0}^{p} f^{-j}(Q_{i_j})$ is strictly smaller than $\bigcap_{j=0}^{p+n} f^{-j}(P_{i_j})$. Then we have the following, for any periodic point under f which is not in the closure of a periodic Fatou component.

Lemma 2.2. *Let f be post-critically-finite and hyperbolic and such that the closures of all Fatou components are disjoint. Let $\mathcal{P}_i$ be a sequence of Markov partitions as above. Let $P^i = P^i(v)$ be the set in $\mathcal{P}_i$ containing the critical value v. If $z \in P^0(v)$ is periodic under f and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that the forward orbit of f is disjoint from P^0, then z is the unique point in $\bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{-j}(P_{i_j})$, where $P_{i_j} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is such that $f^j(z) \in P_{i_j}$.*

The proof is immediate, because P_{i_j} is disjoint from the forward orbits of critical points for all $j \geq 0$, and f is injective restricted to each component of P_{i_j} for all $j > 0$, and hence $\bigcap_{j=0}^{\ell} f^{-j}(P_{i_j})$ is connected for all $\ell \geq 0$.

2.3. **Variation of symbolic dynamics.** It is a common idea in complex dynamics to look at variation of symbolic dynamics, although usually for specific Markov partitions, for example, the sequence of Markov partitions forming the Yoccoz puzzle. We start with a set $V_{k,0} \subset V_k$ such that a set $G_0(g) \cup \{v_1(g), v_2(g)\}$ varies isotopically for $g \in V_{k,0}$, where $G_0(g)$ is a zero-level graph. The maximal sets on which $G_n(g)$ varies isotopically form a partition of $V_{k,0}$ for each $n \geq 0$. This was considered in [16]. In this paper, we concentrate on type IV hyperbolic components. As one might expect, these are derived from periodic words in partition elements in some sense. In fact, we have the following theorem. We write $F_i(g)$ for the Fatou component for g which contains $v_i(g)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 2.4. *In terms of the given sequence of Markov partitions with the conditions previously specified, type IV post-critically-finite maps g in $V_{k,0}$ are characterised by:*

(i) a finite sequence f_i, $1 \leq i \leq N$, of rational maps with $f_1 = f$ and $f_N = g$;

(ii) integers m_i for $1 \leq i \leq N$ with $m_1 = m$, and $j_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i < N$, and $v_2(f_i)$ is of period m_i, and

$$m_{i+1} > \sum_{\ell=1}^{i} j_\ell m_\ell = n_\ell \tag{2.4.1}$$

for $i \leq N-2$, and either (2.4.1) holds for $i = N-1$ also, or $m_N = j_{N-1}m_{N-1}$ and f_N is a tuning of f_{N-1};

(iii) integers n_i, for $0 \leq i \leq N-1$, with $n_0 = 0$ and $n_i = n_{i-1} + j_i m_i$ for $i > 0$, such that there is an isotopy between $G_n(f_i) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} f_i^j(F_1(f_i)) \cup \{v_2(f_i)\}$ and $G_n(f_{i+1}) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} f_{i+1}^j(F_1(f_{i+1})) \cup \{v_2(f_{i+1})\}$ for $n \leq n_i$, and between $G_n(f_i) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} f_i^j(F_1(f_i))$ and $G_n(f_i) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} f_{i+1}^j(F_1(f_{i+1}))$ for $n \leq n_i + m_{i+1}$, but this isotopy cannot be extended to map $v_2(f_i)$ to $v_2(f_{i+1})$ for $n = n_i + m_{i+1}$, and might not be possible for other n

with $n_i < n < n_i + m_{i+1}$ – except in the case when $i = N-1$ and f_N is a tuning of f_{N-1}.

Proof. As before, write $P^j(h, v_i)$ for the set of $\mathcal{P}_j(h)$ containing $v_i(h)$, for all $h \in V_{k,0}$. Since $v_1(h)$ is of period k for all $h \in V_{k,0}$ we have

$$h^k(P^{j+k}(h, v_1)) = P^j(v_1)$$

for all $j \geq 0$, and since $v_2(f)$ is of period m, we have

$$f^m(P^{j+m}(f, v_2)) = P^j(f, v_2)$$

for all $j \geq 0$. Now $f^m : P^m(f, v_2) \to P^0(f, v_2)$ is a covering of degree two.

Now let $g \in V_k$ be hyperbolic of type IV. If g is in the copy of the Mandelbrot set containing f, then we take $N = 2$ and $g = f_2$. So now suppose that $g \in V_k$ is not in the copy of the Mandelbrot set containing f, and in a connected subset $V_{k,1}$ of V_k containing f such that $G_m(h) \cup \{v_2(h)\}$ varies isotopically for $h \in V_{k,1}$. This is the set-up of [16], and $V_{k,1}$ is a neighbourhood of f in V_k. Let $S_{m,h}$ be the 2-valued local inverse of h^m defined on $P^0(h, v_2)$ for all $h \in V_{k,1}$, with $S_{m,h}(P^0(h, v_2)) = P^m(h, v_2) \subset P^0(h, v_2)$. There is a natural isotopy between $G_n(g)$ and $G_n(f)$ so long as $v_2(g) \in S^j_{m,g}(P^0(g, v_2))$. Let j_1 be the largest integer j such that $v_2(g) \in S^j_{m,g}(P^0(g, v_2))$, that is, the largest integer j with $S^j_{m,g}(P^0(g, v_2)) = P^{mj}(g, v_2)$. This integer does exist, since g is not in the copy of the Mandelbrot set containing f. By hypothesis, we have $j_1 \geq 1$. For any $h \in V_{k,1}$ with $v_2(h) \in S^{j_1}_m(P^0(h, v_2))$, we see that $G_{(j_1+1)m}(h) \cap P^m(h, v_2)$ and $G_{(j_1+1)m}(f) \cap P^m(f, v_2)$ are isotopic, and thus there is a natural correspondence between the sets of $\mathcal{P}_{(j_1+1)m}(h)$ in $P^m(h, v_2)$ and the sets of $\mathcal{P}_{(j_1+1)m}(f)$ in $P^m(f, v_2)$. For any such set $Q(h)$ in $\mathcal{P}_{(j_1+1)m}(h)$, we write $Q(f, h)$ for the corresponding set in $\mathcal{P}_{(j_1+1)m}(f)$. We should, of course, be careful with this. For example, $P^{m(j_1+1)}(g, f)$ is well-defined, but $P^{m(j_1+1)}(g, f) \neq P^{m(j_1+1)}(g, v_2)$.

Since $v_2(g)$ is periodic, there is a least integer $m_2 > 0$ such that $P^{m_2+j_1m}(g, v_2)$ is a component of $g^{-m_2}(P^{j_1m}(g, v_2))$. This is also the least integer m_2 such that $g^{m_2}(v_2(g)) \in P^{j_1m}(g, v_2)$, and by the Markov properties we must have $g^i(P^{m_2+j_1m}(g)) \cap P^{j_1m}(g, v_2) = \emptyset$ for $0 < i < m_2$. Then $m_2 > j_1m$ because g^{j_1m} maps $P^{j_1m}(g, v_2) \setminus P^{(j_1+1)m}(g, v_2)$ to $P^0(g, v_2) \setminus P^m(g, v_2)$.

Now write $P^n(g, v_2) = P^n(g)$ for $0 \leq n \leq m_2 + j_1m$. Following the notation of [16], we write $V(P^0, \cdots P^{m_2+j_1m}; g)$ for the set of $h \in V_{k,1}$ for which $(P^0(h, g), \cdots, P^{m_2+j_1m}(h, g))$ is *equivalent* to $(P^0(g, v_2), \cdots, P^{m_2+j_1m}(g, v_2))$, meaning that there is a homeomorphism

$$\varphi_{h,g} : G_{m_2+j_1m}(h) \cup \{v_2(h)\} \to G_{m_2+j_1m}(g) \cup \{v_2(g)\},$$

which therefore maps $P^n(h,g)$ to $P^n(g,v_2)$ for $n \le m_2 + j_1 m$. In fact we can also choose $\varphi_{h,g}$ so that

$$\varphi_{h,g} \circ h = g \circ \varphi_{h,g} \text{ on } G_{m_2+j_1 m}(h).$$

By Theorem 3.2 of [16], the set $V(P^0, \cdots P^{m_2+j_1 m}; g)$, which, of course, contains g, is connected. Also by Theorem 3.2 of [16] there exists $h \in V(P^0, \cdots P^{m_2+j_1 m}; g)$ such that $v_2(h) \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} S^j_{m_2,h}(P^{j_1 m}(h,g))$, where $S_{m_2,h}$ is the branch of h^{-m_2} which maps $P^{j_1 m}(h,v_2)$ to $P^{m_2+j_1 m}(h,g)$. We claim that there is such an h with $v_2(h)$ of period m_2. One way to proceed is to use Theorem 3.2 of [16],which says that the map

$$h \mapsto \varphi_{h,g}(h^{m_2}(v_2(h)))$$

maps $\partial V(P^0, \cdots P^{m_2+j_1 m}; g)$ homeomorphically onto $\partial P^{j_1 m}(g)$. Hence

$$h \mapsto \varphi_{h,g}(h^{m_2}(v_2(h))) - \varphi_{h,g}(v_2(h))$$

is of degree one, and since $V(P^0, \cdots P^{m_2+j_1 m}; g)$ is contractible by Theorem 3.2 of [16] — because the complement in $V_{k,1}$ is connected — the map must have a zero, which is a point h with $v_2(h)$ of period m_2. However it is found, Thurston's theorem for post-critically-finite branched coverings ensures that this map h is unique.

Now we write f_2 for this $h \in V(P^0, \cdots P^{m_2+j_1 m}; g)$ with $h^{m_2}(v_2(h)) = v_2(h)$. Then f_2 is in a copy of the Mandelbrot set, by the theory of polynomial-like mappings [7]. To satisfy the conditions of [7], we note that $V(P^0, \cdots P^{m_2+j_1 m}; g)$ is precompact. If g is in this copy of the Mandelbrot set, then $G_n(g) \cup \{v_2(g)\}$ is isotopic to $G_n(f_2) \cup \{v_2(f_2)\}$ for all $n \ge 0$, and we take $N = 3$ and $g = f_3$. If g is not in the copy of the Mandelbrot set containing f_2, then we can continue with $P^{j_1 m}(g) = P^{n_1}(g)$ replacing P^0, with $P^{m_2+j_1 m}(g)$ replacing $P^m(g)$, and m_2 replacing m, and find $j_2 \ge 1$, m_3, f_3, in the same way as j_1, m_2 and f_2 were found. Similarly, inductively, if $i < N$, we find f_{i+1} from f_i with $P^{n_i}(g)$ replacing $P^0(g)$ and $P^{n_i+m_{i+1}}(g)$ replacing $P^m(g)$. If f_{i+1} is not a tuning of f_i — which is true by definition if $i < N-1$ — then we obtain (2.4.1), because

$$f_{i+1}^{j_\ell m_\ell} : P^{n_\ell}(f_{i+1}) \to P^{n_{\ell-1}}(f_{i+1})$$

for $1 \le \ell \le i+1$ and if

$$p_{\ell,i} = \sum_{n=\ell}^{i+1} j_n m_n$$

then

$$f_{i+1}^{p_{\ell,i}}(v_2) \in P^{n_{\ell-1}}(f_{i+1}) \setminus P^{n_{\ell-1}+m_\ell}(f_{i+1}).$$

Therefore, since

$$p_{0,i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} j_\ell m_\ell$$

and

$$f_{i+1}^{p_{i,0}}(v_2) \notin P^{m_{i+1}}(f_{i+1}),$$

we have (2.4.1), as required.

□

We also have the following counting result.

Theorem 2.5. *Hyperbolic components in $V(P^0; f) \subset V_{k,0}$, for which $F_2(g)$ is of period n, are in two-to-one correspondence with points in $P^0(f)$ of period n.*

Proof. The number of periodic points of period n in $P^0(g)$ is the same for all $g \in V_{k,0}$ which do not have a parabolic point of period n and multiplier 1. Now $g^{-1}(G_\ell(g))$ varies isotopically for $g \in V(P^0, P^1, \cdots P^\ell; g_0)$ for any fixed g_0. So the possible sets $P^{\ell+1}(g) \subset P^\ell(g)$ vary isotopically for all $g \in V(P^0, P^1, \cdots, P^\ell; g_0)$, and the number of periodic points of period n in $P^{\ell+1}(g)$ is the same for all $g \in V(P^0, P^1, \cdots P^\ell; g_0)$ which do not have a period n point in $P^{\ell+1}$ with multiplier 1. Any set $\partial P^\ell(g) \setminus \partial P^0(g)$ contains no periodic points, as it is contained in $G_\ell(g) \setminus G_0(g) = g^{-\ell}(G_0(g)) \setminus G_0(g)$. Hyperbolic components of period n which are in copies of the Mandelbrot set of lower period correspond to periodic points of period n in sets $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} P^{ik}(g)$ where $P^{(i+1)k}(g)$ is a component of $g^{-k}(P^{ik}(g))$, for k properly dividing n, and k is the largest possible such integer. For such points, the two-to-one correspondence between the number of points of period n and the number of hyperbolic components of period n follows from the well-established fact that there are $2^{n/k-1}$ hyperbolic components of period dividing n/k in the Mandelbrot set – while the number of points of period dividing n/k for any non-parabolic parameter value is always $2^{n/k}$. So in order to complete the proof, it suffices to prove that if $V(P^0, P^1, \cdots P^n; g_0)$ contains a hyperbolic component of period n which is not in a copy of the Mandelbrot set attached to a hyperbolic component of lower period dividing n, then it contains only one, and $P^n(g)$ contains two points of period n for non-parabolic parameter values. We see this as follows. The condition about not being in a copy of the Mandelbrot set ensures that $P^n(g)$ is mapped to $P^0(g)$ by g^n with degree two, and then the number of points fixed by g^n is two, because this is the number of fixed points for a degree two map of a disc to itself.

□

Now we want to investigate some ways in which the configuration of hyperbolic components near f is affected by the topology and dynamics of the

sequences of graphs and Markov partitions. We are particularly interested in the case when f is represented by a mating. For the results in Section 3, we will need a variation on the result of 2.4 which is proved in exactly the same way. So we simply state the result, with a brief note on the proof. Note that the hypotheses are exactly the same as in 2.4.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $g \in V_{k,0}$ be sufficiently near f, that is $v_2(g) \in P^{j_1 m}(g; f)$ for j_1 sufficiently large. Let $n_1 = j_1 m$, $m_1 = m$. Let the sequences r_i, n_i, m_i, j_i satisfy*

$$j_i \geq 1,$$
$$n_{i+1} = n_i - r_i + j_{i+1} m_{i+1},$$
$$n_i - r_i + m_{i+1} > n_i, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Then we can define $f_i \in V(P^0, \cdots P^{n_i}; f)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ with $f_1 = f$, $f_N = g$ and with the following properties

$$v_2(f_i) \in P^{n_i}(f_i, f), \quad v_2(g) \in P^{n_i}(g, f), \quad v_2(g) \notin P^{n_i + m_i}(g, f).$$

m_{i+1} is the least integer $k > j_i m_i$ with $g^k(v_2(g)) \in P^{n_i - r_i}(g)$, and $v_2(f_i)$ is of period m_i under f_i.

Proof. We define $S_{m_i,g}$ to be the two-valued local inverse of g^{m_i} defined in $P^{n_{i-1} - r_{i-1}}(g; f_{i-i})$ with $S_{m_i,g}(g^{m_i}(v_2(g)) = v_2(g)$, and let $S_{m_i,h}$ denote the corresponding local inverse of h^{m_i} for all $h \in V(P^0, \cdots P^{n_{i-1} - r_{i-1}}; f_{i-1})$ for which $h^{m_{i-1}}(v_2) \notin P^{n_{i-1}}(h)$ and $h^{m_i}(v_2) \in P^{n_{i-1} - r_{i-1}}(h)$. Then exactly as before there is a unique h with $v_2(h) \in \bigcap_{i \geq 0} S^i_{m_i,h} P^{n_{i-1} - r_{i-1}}(h; f_{i-1})$ and $h^{m_i}(v_2(h)) = v_2(h)$. □

Note that these conditions imply that m_i and n_i are strictly increasing sequences.

3. Quadratic Polynomials, Laminations and Matings

3.1. Quadratic polynomials do not fit into the framework used in Section 2, unless there is a single Fatou component, because the Julia set is the boundary of a single Fatou component, the one containing infinity and therefore this Fatou component has boundary in common with any other Fatou component. But, of course, it is easy to construct Markov partitions for the Julia set of a quadratic polynomial in the Mandelbrot set, that is, a polynomial of which the Julia set is connected. For example, for a polynomial which is not in the main cardioid, one can simply take the zero-level Yoccoz partition, using the rays landing at the α fixed point.

As usual, we recall the combinatorial description of hyperbolic polynomials in the Mandelbrot set, originally realised by Douady and Hubbard [6] and reinterpreted by Thurston [26]. The Mandelbrot set is the set of

quadratic polynomials $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ ($c \in \mathbb{C}$) with connected Julia sets, or equivalently, the orbit of the critical point 0 remains bounded, or, equivalently, the unbounded Fatou component $U_\infty(c)$, including ∞, is conformally equivalent to the open unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Under any of these conditions, there is a holomorphic bijection

$$\varphi_c : \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > 1\} \to U_\infty(c)$$

satisfying

$$\varphi_c(z^2) = f_c \circ \varphi_c(z), \quad |z| > 1. \tag{3.1.1}$$

If f_c is hyperbolic, and more generally, if the Julia set $J(f_c)$ is locally connected, then the map φ_c extends to map the unit circle $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ to the Julia set $\partial U_\infty(c)$, with (3.1.1) holding for $|z| = 1$ also. Then we define $\mathrm{conv}(\varphi_c^{-1}(z))$ to be the convex hull in $\overline{D}$ of $z \in J(f_c)$. It does not greatly matter which metric is used on the unit disc to define the convex hull, provided that geodesics in the metric exist and intersect in at most one point. Usually either the Euclidean or hyperbolic metric is used. Thurston [26] made the simple but crucial observation that convex hulls are either equal or disjoint. The set $\varphi_c^{-1}(z) \subset S^1$ is always finite, and so the convex hull is either a point a finite-sided polygon, and $\partial\varphi_c^{-1}(z)$ is either a single point or a finite union of geodesics, with endpoints. The set

$$L_c = \{\ell : \ell \subset \partial\varphi_c^{-1}(z) \text{ is a geodesic, with endpoints } \}$$

is a *clean quadratic invariant lamination*, of which the *leaves* are the geodesics with endpoints, ℓ, as above. The invariance properties are given in terms of the endpoints of leaves.

- *Forward invariance.* If $\ell \in L_c$ is a leaf with endpoints z_1, z_2, then either $z_2 = -z_1$ or there is a leaf in L_c with endpoints z_1^2 and z_2^2, which is called ℓ^2
- *Backward invariance.* If $\ell \in L_c$ is a leaf with endpoints z_1 and z_2, then there are two leaves of L_c, one with endpoints z_3 and z_4, and the other with endpoints $-z_3$ and $-z_4$, such that $z_3^2 = z_1$ and $z_4^2 = z_2$.
- *Clean.* If two leaves of L_c have a common endpoint, then the two leaves are both in the boundary of the same component of $\overline{D} \setminus \cup L_c$, called a *gap* of L_c.

The intersection of the lamination with D is closed in D. The *length* of a leaf is defined in terms of its endpoints $e^{2\pi ia}$ and $e^{2\pi ib}$ with $0 \le a < b < 1$, as $\min(b-a, 1-(b-a))$, so that the length is always $\le \frac{1}{2}$. If f_c and $f_{c'}$ are in the same hyperbolic component then $L_c = L_{c'}$. If f_c is hyperbolic, then the longest leaf has length $< \frac{1}{2}$ and is periodic under the map $\ell \mapsto \ell^2$. The endpoints of ℓ are, of course, also periodic under the map $z \mapsto z^2$, of the same

period as ℓ, or twice the period of ℓ. The period of the endpoints is the same as the period of the bounded Fatou components of f_c. If f_c is hyperbolic, and in some other cases, one can use the lamination L_c to reconstruct f_c up to topological conjugacy on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. We can extend φ_c to map $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ by mapping leaves and finite-sided gaps to the same points as their endpoints are mapped to, and mapping each infinite-sided gap G to the Fatou component whose boundary is the image under φ_c of the boundary of G. There is such a Fatou component, and it is unique. We can define a branched covering $s_{L_c} : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ to be z^2 on $\{z : |z| \geq 1\}$, and to map leaves of L_c to leaves, finite-sided gaps homeomorphically to finite-sided gaps, and infinite-sided gaps either homeomorphically or by degree-two branched coverings to infinite-sided gaps. In addition we can ensure that on any periodic cycle of infinite-sided gaps, φ_c is a conjugacy between s_{L_c} and f_c. In order to define s_{L_c} uniquely up to topological conjugacy, we make this conjugacy on periodic cycles of infinite-sided gaps only when f_c is postcritically finite, and then define s_{L_c} near critical forward orbits so as to be locally conjugate to f_c. So in such cases, s_{L_c} is a postcritically finite branched covering. If we use the extension $\varphi_c : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then $\varphi_c \circ s_{L_c} = f_c \circ s_{L_c}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Since φ_c maps leaves of L_c to points, this is a semi-conjugacy, unless L_c is empty, that is, unless $c = 0$.

Amazingly, as noted by Douady-Hubbard and Thurston (the language used here is that of Thurston), this description can be completely reversed. A clean quadratic invariant lamination L is uniquely determined by its *minor leaf*, the image of its one or two longest leaves – two of them unless the longest leaf has length $\frac{1}{2}$. For simplicity, we assume that the longest leaf of L has length $< \frac{1}{2}$ and is a side of an infinite-sided gap. Then this longest leaf is periodic, and $L = L_c$ for some hyperbolic critically periodic polynomial f_c with $c \neq 0$. This means that 0 has some period > 1 under f_c. The period k of the endpoints of the minor leaf of L_c is the same as the period k of 0 under f_c. The endpoints are therefore of the form $e^{2\pi i x_j}$, $j = 1$, 2, where x_j is of period k under the map $x \mapsto 2x \bmod 1$, that is, x_j is a rational of odd denominator, where the denominator is a divisor of $2^k - 1$ but not of $2^{k_1} - 1$ for any $k_1 < k$. Each such x_j is the endpoint of precisely one minor leaf of a clean invariant lamination. Thus, such minor leaves are in one-to-one correspondence with hyperbolic components of quadratic polynomials, in the Mandelbrot set, but excluding the main cardioid (which is the hyperbolic component of $f_0(z) = z^2$). Moreover such minor leaves are disjoint, and the closure of the set of such minor leaves is a lamination of minor leaves called QML. Conjecturally, the quotient of $\overline{D}$ by the associated equivalence relation, in which the equivalence classes are either leaves of

QML, or closures of finite-sided gaps of QML, is homeomorphic to the Mandelbrot set.

We often write L_x for the lamination with minor leaf with endpoint at x, and s_x for s_{L_x}. Thus, $L_{x_1} = L_{x_2}$ if $e^{2\pi i x_1}$ and $e^{2\pi i x_2}$ are endpoints of the same minor leaf, and $s_{x_1} = s_{x_2}$. The minor leaf is denoted by μ_{x_1} or μ_{x_2}, as, of course, $\mu_{x_1} = \mu_{x_2}$. Minor leaves μ_1 and μ_2 are partially ordered by: $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ if μ_1 separates μ_2 from 0 in the closed unit disc. Every minor leaf is either minimal in this ordering or is separated from 0 by a minimal minor leaf. The minimal minor leaves are the minors of the hyperbolic components adjacent to the main cardioid of the Mandelbrot set. The set of minor leaves bounded from 0 by a fixed minimal minor leaf is called a *combinatorial limb*.

As well as polynomials, laminations L and lamination maps s_L can be used to define some rational maps, up to topological conjugacy. In fact, for sufficiently general laminations, all hyperbolic quadratic rational maps can be described in this way [19, 20], but here we concentrate on rational maps which are represented by *matings*. If p and q are any odd denominator rationals then we can define a postcritically finite branched covering $s_p \amalg s_q$ by

$$s_p \amalg s_q(z) = \begin{array}{ll} s_p(z) & \text{if } |z| \le 1, \\ (s_q(z^{-1}))^{-1} & \text{if } |z| \ge 1. \end{array}$$

This definition is consistent, since $s_p(z) = s_q(z) = z^2$ if $|z| = 1$, and $(z^{-1})^2 = (z^2)^{-1}$. We also define

$$L_q^{-1} = \{\ell^{-1} : \ell \in L_q\}$$

where $\ell^{-1} = \{z^{-1} : z \in \ell\}$. We have the following theorem. This is a combination of two theorems. The first is Tan Lei's theorem [25] on a necessary and sufficient condition for $s_p \amalg s_q$ to be Thurston-equivalent to a rational map (proved more generally in [19, 20]), which is itself verification that there is no Thurston obstruction, and hence a deduction from Thurston's theorem for Critically Finite Branched Coverings [7, 18]. The second theorem is a deduction from the results of Chapter 4 of [19] which show that Thurston equivalence to a rational map f implies semi-conjugacy, that is, there is a continuous surjection φ such that $\varphi \circ (s_p \amalg s_q) = f \circ \varphi$, and any set $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ is either a single point, or a connected union of finitely many leaves and finite-sided gaps of $L_p \cup L_q^{-1}$.

Thurston equivalence is the appropriate definition of homotopy equivalence for *post-critically-finite* branched coverings. The *postcritical set* $X(f)$ of a branched covering f is defined by

$$X(f) = \{f^n(c) : n > 0, \quad c \text{ critical } \}.$$

The branched covering f is *post-critically-finite* if the set $X(f)$ is finite. Two post-critically-finite branched coverings f_0 and f_1 are *Thurston equivalent*, written $f_0 \simeq f_1$, if there is a homotopy f_t through post-critically-finite branched coverings from f_0 to f_1 such that $X(f_t)$ varies isotopically from f_0 to f_1. Equivalently, there is a homeomorphism φ and a homotopy g_t ($t \in [0,1]$) through post-critically-finite branched coverings such that $X(g_t)$ is the same set for $t \in [0,1]$, and $\varphi \circ f_0 \circ \varphi^{-1} = g_0$, and $g_1 = f_1$. Also equivalently, there are homeomorphisms φ and ψ such that $\varphi \circ f_0 \circ \psi^{-1} = f_1$ and $\varphi(X(f_0)) = \psi(X(f_)) = X(f_1)$, and φ and ψ are isotopic via an isotopy which is constant on $X(f_0)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let p and q be odd denominator rationals. Let $\sim_{p,q}$ be the equivalence relation generated by two points being equivalent if and only if they are in the same leaf of $L_p \cup L_q^{-1}$, or in the closure of the same finite-sided gap of $L_p \cup L_q^{-1}$. Let $[s_p \amalg s_q]$ denote the map on the quotient space $\overline{\mathbb{C}}/\sim_{p,q}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *μ_p and μ_q are not in conjugate combinatorial limbs.*
2. *$s_p \amalg s_q$ is Thurston equivalent to a post-critically-finite hyperbolic quadratic rational map.*
3. *$\overline{\mathbb{C}}/\sim_{p,q}$ is homeomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, each equivalence class of $\sim_{p,q}$ is a point , or a finite union of at most N leaves and closures of finite-sided gaps, for some N depending on (p,q), and $[s_p \amalg s_q]$ is topologically conjugate to a post-critically-finite hyperbolic rational map.*

The statement of the following result has nothing to do with the theory above, but quadratic invariant laminations play an important role in the proof, as we shall see.

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be quadratic rational hyperbolic type IV with periodic Fatou components U_1 and U_2 of periods k_1 and k_2 under f, containing the critical values of f. Then one of the following occurs.*

1. *$k_i = 1$.*
2. *The closure of any Fatou component in the full orbit of U_i is a closed topological disc. The closures of any two Fatou components in the full orbit of U_i intersect in at most one point, and any such point is in the full orbit of the unique point in ∂U_i which is fixed by f^{k_i}.*

Also one of the following occurs.

3. *Either $k_1 = 1$ or $k_2 = 1$.*
4. *The closures of any two Fatou components intersect in at most one point, and if x is such a point, there are $n \geq 0$ and $i \in \{1,2\}$ such that $f^n(x)$ is the unique point in ∂U_i fixed by f^{k_i}.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_{i,j} : S^1 \to f^j(\partial U_i)$ be the unique orientation-preserving continuous map, extending to map the open unit disc D homeomorphically to $f^j(U_i)$, with $\varphi_{i,j}(z^2) = f^{k_i} \circ \varphi_i(z)$ for all $z \in S^1$. Write $\varphi_{i,0} = \varphi_i$. Thus, $\varphi_{i,j} = f^j \circ \varphi_i$ on S^1, for $0 \le j < k_i$. We also write $s(z) = z^2$.

If W_1 is a Fatou component, then mapping forward under f, we have $f^n(W_1) = U_i$ for some $n \ge 0$ and for $i = 1$ or 2. If $\overline{W_1}$ is not a closed topological disc, then $f^\ell(\overline{W_1})$ is not a closed topological disc, for all $\ell \ge 0$. If W_2 is another Fatou component, such that ∂W_1 and ∂W_2 intersect in x, and $f^j(W_1) \ne f^j(W_2)$ for some $0 \le j < n$ but $f^{j+1}(W_1) = f^{j+1}(W_2)$, then $f^\ell(\overline{W_1})$ is not a closed topological disc for $j + 1 \le \ell \le n$.

So we will show that, if $\overline{U_i}$ is not a topological disc, or U_i shares at least two boundary points with some other Fatou component in its backward orbit, then $k_i = 1$, and if U_i shares at least two boundary points with some other Fatou component, then at least one of k_1 and $k_2 = 1$.

The idea of the proof is as follows. We will construct a quadratic forward invariant lamination L_i on $\overline{D}$ which is nonempty if and only if either $\varphi_i : S^1 \to \partial U_i$ is not injective, or U_i has at least two boundary points in common with another Fatou component. Also, L_i does not have a diagonal leaf. Therefore, if L_i is nonempty, it has at least one periodic leaf. Then we can construct a critically periodic *tuning* f_1 of f, which is a nontrivial tuning of the forward orbit of $v_i(f)$ if $L_i \ne \emptyset$, which preserves Λ, where Λ is the union over i and j of images under the maps $\varphi_{i,j}$ of some finite set of closures of periodic leaves of L_i, and Λ is also a union of closed loops. Then Λ contains a Levy cycle for f_1. Then the Tuning Proposition 1.20 of [19] implies that $k_i = 1$ if f_1 is a nontrivial tuning round the forward orbit of v_i, that is, if $L_i \ne \emptyset$. The Tuning Proposition needs to be applied twice, to each of the postcritical orbits of f in succession, if f_1 is a nontrivial tuning round both the postcritical orbits of f. For a set $A \subset S^1$, we write $C(A)$ for the convex hull in $\overline{D}$ (in the Euclidean metric, for the sake of concreteness).

First suppose that $\overline{U_i}$ is not a closed topological disc. Then we define L_i to be the set of leaves ℓ such that ℓ is a component of $D \cap C(\varphi_i^{-1}(x))$ for some $x \in \partial U_i$ with $\#(\varphi_i^{-1}(x)) \ge 2$. The sets $C(\varphi_i^{-1}(x))$, for different x, are disjoint. This is a familiar argument from [26], deriving from the fact that two smooth loops on the sphere which intersect transversally can never have a single intersection. So the leaves of L_i have disjoint interiors. L_i is closed (by continuity of φ_i) and clean, by definition. Also L_i does not contain a diagonal leaf, because if $\varphi_i^{-1}(x)$ contains a diagonal leaf, x must be a critical point of f^{k_i}. So

$$s(C(\varphi_i^{-1}(x)) \cap S^1) = C(\varphi_i^{-1}(f^{k_i}(x))) \cap S^1,$$

and L_i is forward invariant. Let $L_{i,b}$ be the unique quadratic invariant lamination such that the forward orbit of every leaf in $L_{i,b}$ intersects L_i and at least one of the longest leaves of $L_{i,b}$ is in L_i. Then $L_{i,b}$ either has a fixed leaf with endpoints of period 2 or a fixed r-sided gap with sides of period r for some finite r. These periodic leaves are also in L_i, and therefore L_i has at least one periodic leaf ℓ. Let f_1 be a critically periodic tuning of f round the orbit of $v_i(f)$ which preserves up to isotopy the set

$$\Lambda = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k_i-1} \bigcup_{t\geq 0} \varphi_{i,j}(s^t(\overline{\ell})).$$

Then Λ contains a Levy cycle for f_1. So $k_i = 1$.

Now suppose that $\overline{U_i}$ is a closed topological disc and U_i shares at least two boundary points with U_3 where U_3 is in the full orbit of U_i. Then $f^n(U_i) \neq f^n(U_3)$ for all $n \geq 0$, because otherwise $f^n(\overline{U_i})$ is not a closed topological disc, for any n such that $f^n(U_i) = f^n(U_3)$. Then we define L_i to be the set of all leaves such that ℓ is a component of $D \cap \partial C(\varphi_i^{-1}(\partial U_i \cap \partial U_3))$ for some such Fatou component U_3. Once again, the sets $C(\varphi_i^{-1}(\partial U_i \cap \partial U_3))$, for varying U_3, have disjoint interiors, by the same argument from [26]. Also L_i is closed in D since only finitely many Fatou components have spherical diameter $> \varepsilon$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$. There is no diagonal leaf because that would imply $\overline{U_i}$ was not a topological disc. Forward invariance of L_i follows from

$$s(C(\varphi_i^{-1}(\partial U_i \cap \partial U_3))) \cap S^1 = C(\varphi_i^{-1}(\partial U_i \cap \partial f^{k_i}(U_3))) \cap S^1.$$

So once again L_i contains a periodic leaf ℓ. This time $\varphi_i(\ell)$ shares endpoints with $\varphi_{i,j}(\ell')$ for some $\ell' \in L_i$ of the same period, and $\varphi_i(\overline{\ell}) \cup \varphi_{i,j}(\overline{\ell'})$ is a closed loop. As before we can make a tuning f_1 of f round the forward orbit of $v_1(f)$, which admits a Levy cycle, leading to a contradiction unless $k_i = 1$.

Finally suppose that $\overline{U_i}$ is a closed topological disc for both $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, and that U_1 has at least two boundary points in common with $f^j(U_2)$ for some $j \geq 0$. Then, in the same way as above, we can construct nonempty forward invariant laminations L_i of leaves ℓ such that ℓ is a component of $D \cap \partial C(\varphi_i^{-1}(\partial U_i \cap \partial U_3))$ for some Fatou component U_3 not in the full orbit of U_i. Then once again we can construct a tuning f_1 of f, this time round both the postcritical orbits of f, and preserving a Levy cycle where each loop is of the form $\varphi_{1,j_1}(\overline{\ell_1}) \cup \varphi_{2,j_2}(\overline{\ell_2})$ for some $\ell_1 \in L_1$ and $\ell_2 \in L_2$. Then the Tuning Proposition gives $k_1 = 1$ or $k_2 = 1$.

Now we consider the the extra properties which hold in the alternatives 2 and 4 of the lemma.

So suppose that $k_i > 1$. If U_i has a boundary point fixed by f^{k_i}, which is $\varphi_i(z)$ for some $z \neq 1$, then $\varphi_i(z) = f^{k_i}(\varphi_i(z)) = \varphi_i(z^2)$ and $\overline{U_i}$ is not a

closed topological disc. So if U_i has a boundary point $\varphi_i(z)$ in common with $f^j(U_i)$ for some $0 < j < k_i$, with $z \neq 1$ and $\overline{U_i}$ is a closed topological disc, then U_i and $f^j(U_i)$ have the distinct boundary points $\varphi_i(z)$ and $\varphi_i(z^2)$ in common. We have seen that this does not happen. Therefore $z = 1$. This completes the proof of the dichotomy 1 or 2.

Now we consider the dichotomy of 3 or 4 of the lemma. So suppose $k_1 > 1$ and $k_2 > 1$. If U_1 and $f^j(U_2)$ have a boundary point $x = \varphi_1(z_1) = \varphi_{2,j}(z_2)$ in common, with $z_1 \neq 1$ and $z_2 \neq 1$, then since both $\overline{U_1}$ and $f^j(\overline{U_2})$ are closed topological discs, the period of x under f is a proper multiple of both k_1 and k_2: $\ell_1 k_1 = \ell_2 k_2$ where ℓ_i is the period of z_i under s. Then U_1 has boundary point $\varphi_1(s^t(z_1))$ in common with $f^{j+k_1 t}(U_2)$ for $0 \leq t < \ell_1$. If there is $t < \ell_1$ such that k_2 divides $k_1 t$, then $\varphi_1(s^t(z_1))$ is a second common boundary point of U_1 and $f^j(U_2)$, which we have already discounted. So now we assume that $k_1\ell_1 = k_2\ell_2$ is the least common multiple of k_1 and k_2 and that $\overline{U_i}$ is a closed topological disc for both $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, and any two sets ∂U_1 and $f^j(\partial U_2)$ intersect in at most one point. This means that if d is the greatest common divisor of k_1 and k_2, then $k_1 = d\ell_2$ and $k_2 = d\ell_1$, and the greatest common divisor of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 is 1. Now if $f^{j_1}(U_1)$ shares a boundary point with $f^{j_2}(U_2)$, then $f^{j_1}(U_1)$ shares a boundary point with $f^{j_3}(U_2)$ if and only if d divides $j_3 - j_2$. It follows that if

$$A_j = \{\ell : f^j(\partial U_1) \cap f^\ell(\partial U_2) \neq \emptyset\}$$

then any two sets A_{j_0} and A_{j_1} are either equal or disjoint - and of course any such set has ℓ_2 elements, and similar statements hold with U_1 and U_2 interchanged. We cannot then have both $\ell_1 \geq 3$ and $\ell_2 \geq 3$, because the union of three of the sets $f^j(\overline{U_1})$ and two of the sets $f^\ell(\overline{U_2})$ has three complementary components, and in whichever one another set $f^n(U_2)$ is contained, it is separated from one of the sets $f^j(\overline{U_1})$. So now we assume without loss of generality that $\ell_2 = 2$ and $\ell_1 > 2$. Since ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are coprime, ℓ_1 must be odd. We have $k_1 = 2d$ and $A_0 = A_d = \{j + dt : 0 \leq t < \ell_1\}$ for some fixed j with $0 \leq j < \ell_1$. The cyclic order on ∂U_1 of the points $f^{j+dt}(\partial U_2) \cap \partial U_1$, for $0 \leq t < \ell_1$, is the reverse of the cyclic order on $f^d(\partial U_1)$ of the points $f^{j+dt}(\partial U_2) \cap f^d(\partial U_1)$. So if the points on ∂U_1 in anticlockwise order are $f^{j+d\sigma(t)}(\partial U_2) \cap \partial U_1$ for $0 \leq t < \ell_1$ then the points on $f^d(\partial U_1)$ in anticlockwise order are $f^{j+d\sigma(\ell_1-1-t)}(\partial U_2) \cap df^d(\partial U_1)$. Now $f^d : \partial U_1 \to f^d(\partial U_1)$ is a homeomorphism which preserves anticlockwise order. So there must be r such that

$$\sigma(t) + 1 = \sigma(r - t)$$

where integers are interpreted mod ℓ_1. Now ℓ_1 is odd, so for any r the transformation $t \mapsto r - t \bmod \ell_1$ has a unique fixed point. It follows that

the conjugate transformation $t \mapsto t+1 \bmod \ell_1$ also has a fixed point, which is absurd. So it is not possible to have both $\ell_1 > 1$ and $\ell_2 > 1$. This completes the proof of the dichotomy 3 or 4 of the lemma.

□

3.4. **Examples of equivalence classes of different sizes.** We now consider examples of matings $s_p \amalg s_q$ for which μ_p and μ_q are not in conjugate combinatorial limbs, so that there is a rational map f which is topologically conjugate to $[s_p \amalg s_q]$. We want to concentrate on examples for which the closures of Fatou components of f are all disjoint. In particular, this means that we want the endpoints of μ_p and μ_q^{-1} to have disjoint orbits, and we want the $\sim_{p,q}$-equivalence class of μ_p not to include the closure of any finite-sided gap of $L_p \cup L_q^{-1}$, and similarly for μ_q. Nevertheless, we are interested in finding examples where the $\sim_{p,q}$-equivalence class of μ_p (or μ_q^{-1}) has various different sizes.

Example 1. Let $p = \frac{3}{7}$ and $q = \frac{3}{31}$. The minimal leaves $\mu_{1/3}$ and $\mu_{1/15}$ satisfy $\mu_{1/3} < \mu_{3/7}$ and $\mu_{1/15} < \mu_{3/31}$. By the Centrally Enlarging Lemma II.5.1 in [26], any periodic leaf in $L_{3/7}$ cannot intersect the set

$$\{e^{2\pi i x} : x \in (-\tfrac{1}{7}, \tfrac{1}{7}) \cup (\tfrac{3}{7}, \tfrac{4}{7})\}$$

Since $\mu_{4/31} = \mu_{3/31}$ and $(-\frac{4}{31}, -\frac{3}{31}) \subset (-\frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{7})$, it follows that $(\mu_{3/31})^{-1}$ is a whole equivalence class for $\sim_{3/7,3/31}$, and that the same is true for any periodic leaf of $L_{3/31}^{-1}$ with endpoints $e^{2\pi i x_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$, and with $x_j \in (-\frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{7})$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let Δ denote the closure of the gap of $L_{3/31}$ with vertices at $e^{2\pi i x_j}$ for $x_j = 2^j/15$ for $0 \le j \le 3$. Then any leaf in $L_{3/31}^{-1}$ is either a whole equivalence class for $\sim_{3/7,3/31}$ or in the backward orbit of Δ^{-1}, because the forward orbit of any leaf in $L_{3/31}$ must intersect the set $\{e^{2\pi i x} : x \in [\frac{1}{15}, \frac{3}{31}] \cup [\frac{4}{31}, \frac{2}{15}]\}$, because the image of the longest leaf in the closure of the forward orbit must be in this set, again by II.5.1 of [26]. This means that there are no common endpoints between any leaves of $L_{3/7}$ and of $L_{3/31}^{-1}$. There are no finite-sided gaps for $L_{3/7}$. So every nontrivial equivalence class for $\sim_{3/7,3/31}$ is either a single leaf of $L_{3/7}$ or of $L_{3/31}^{-1}$ or in the backward orbit of Δ^{-1}.

It follows that for the rational map f (whose existence is given by Theorem 3.2) which is topologically conjugate to $[s_{3/7} \amalg s_{3/31}]$, the closures of Fatou components are disjoint. Let $v_1(f)$ and $v_2(f)$ be the critical points of f which are the images of ∞ and 0 respectively under the conjugacy φ with $\varphi \circ [s_{3/7} \amalg s_{3/31}] = f \circ \varphi$. We have $f \in V_{5,0}$. Theorem 3.5 below can be applied to this f.

Example 2. Let $p = \frac{7}{15}$ and $q = \frac{5}{31}$. We have $\mu_{7/15} = \mu_{8/15}$ and $\mu_{5/31} = \mu_{6/31}$. The minimal leaves $\mu_{1/3}$ and $\mu_{1/7}$ satisfy $\mu_{1/3} < \mu_{7/15}$ and $\mu_{1/7} < \mu_{5/31}$. There are no leaves of period four in $L_{5/31}$, because there are none between $\mu_{1/7}$ and $\mu_{5/31}$ (applying II.5.1 of [26], once again). However, there are some nontrivial $\sim_{7/15,5/31}$-equivalence classes in $L_{7/15} \cup L_{5/31}^{-1}$ which are larger than the closure of a single leaf or closure of a single finite-sided gap. For example, since $\mu_{14/31} < \mu_{7/15}$ and $2^2 \cdot 14 = -6 \bmod 31$, there is a leaf $\ell = \mu_{14/31}^4 \in L_{7/15}$ with endpoints $e^{2\pi i(\pm 6/31)}$, which has an endpoint in common with $\mu_{5/31}^{-1} = \mu_{6/31}^{-1}$. Hence $\ell \cup \mu_{5/31}^{-1}$ is in a single equivalence class. But the only period five leaves in $L_{5/31}$ are the ones in the periodic orbit of $\mu_{5/31} = \mu_{6/31}$ (again by using II.5.1 of [26] —- because there are no period five leaves separating $\mu_{1/7}$ and $\mu_{5/31}$). So $\ell \cup \mu_{5/31}^{-1}$ is the whole equivalence class.

Note that there is one equivalence class which contains three leaves of $L_{7/15}$ and three leaves of $L_{5/31}^{-1}$, because $\mu_{3/7} \in L_{7/15}$ and $\mu_{1/7}^{-1} \in L_{5/31}^{-1}$ and the orbits of these two leaves lie in a single equivalence class. Close to this equivalence class there will be other equivalence classes which contain one leaf of $L_{5/31}^{-1}$ and two leaves of $L_{7/15}$. There are also some other equivalence classes that contain two leaves of $L_{7/15}$ at opposite ends of a leaf of $L_{5/31}^{-1}$. For example, $\mu_{7/15}$ and $\mu_{2/5}$ are joined by a leaf in $L_{5/31}^{-1}$.

Let f denote the rational map which is topologically conjugate to $[s_{7/15} \amalg s_{5/31}]$. Then we claim that the closures of the Fatou components of f are disjoint. Let U_1 and U_2 denote the periodic Fatou components of f containing the critical values, of periods 4 and 5 respectively. By 3.3, any point in $\partial f^{j_1}(U_1) \cap \partial f^{j_2}(U_2)$ would have to be fixed by f. But the only fixed equivalence classes are those of $\mu_{1/3}$ and $\mu_{1/7}^{-1}$. The leaf $\mu_{1/3}$ is an entire equivalence class, and the equivalence class containing $\mu_{1/7}^{-1}$ is the closure of the finite-sided gap whose boundary is the periodic orbit of $\mu_{1/7}^{-1}$, which is of period three. We have $\partial U_i \cap \partial f^j(U_i) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1$, 2 and $f^j(U_i) \neq U_i$, because the only possible intersection for $i = 1$ is in $\varphi(\mu_{7/15})$, where $\varphi : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies $\varphi \circ (s_{7/15} \amalg s_{5/31}) = f \circ \varphi$. But we have seen that $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\mu_{7/15})) = \mu_{7/15}$. Similarly the only possible intersection for $i = 2$ is in $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\mu_{5/31}^{-1}))$, but we have seen that $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\mu_{5/31}^{-1}))$ is the union of $\mu_{5/31}^{-1}$ and one period five leaf in $L_{7/15}$. So once again the intersection is empty. Theorem 2.4 applies to this example, but Theorem 3.5 will not apply.

Example 3

Let $p = 15/31$ and $q = 7/63 = 1/9$. This is an example where Fatou components do not all have disjoint closures. For there is a leaf of $L_{15/31}$

with endpoints at $e^{\pm 2\pi i(4/9)}$, linking two of the periodic orbit of gaps of $L_{1/9}^{-1}$. Therefore the corresponding rational map has the period 6 orbit of Fatou components linked in two's, giving a period two tuning of a rational map with one critical orbit of period 5 and the other of period 3.

The conditions of the following theorem hold for Example 1, but not for Examples 2 and 3, although Fatou components are disjoint in Example 2. The description of all nearby hyperbolic components, provided by the results of Section 2, suggests that not all nearby hyperbolic components are represented by matings in Example 2. But it is difficult to be sure, because the theory so far developed is not sufficient to exclude all matings of polynomials with two cycles of some fixed periods.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f \in V_{k,0}$ with $f \simeq s_p \amalg s_q$, where:*

- *the semiconjugacy φ with $\varphi \circ (s_p \amalg s_q) = f \circ \varphi$ satisfies $\varphi(\infty) = c_1(f)$;*
- *any equivalence class of $\sim_{p,q}$ is either a single point or the closure of a single leaf or finite sided gap of L_p or L_q^{-1};*
- *neither s_p nor s_q is a tuning*

Then the post-critically finite centre of each type IV hyperbolic component in $V_{k,0}$, in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the closure of the hyperbolic component of f, is Thurston equivalent $s_y \amalg s_q$, for some y with y near the minor gap of L_p.

Proof. Fix a graph $G(f) = G$ and Markov partition $\mathcal{P}(f) = \mathcal{P}$ as in Section 2, and with the properties provided there, let $\mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_j(f)$ be the sequence of partitions constructed there, and let $P^j = P^j(f) = P^j(f, v_2) \in \mathcal{P}_j(f)$ with $v_2(f) \in P^j$, again as in 2.4. Let $g \in V_{k,0}$ be postcritically finite of type IV near the hyperbolic component of f. We will use the variant 2.6 of 2.4, for a suitable sequence r_i.

Define Q_0 to be the union of components of $\varphi^{-1}(P^0(f))$ which intersect $\partial F_2(f)$. For a suitable i_1, $\varphi^{-1}(P^{i_1 m}(f)) \cap S^1 \subset Q_0$. We define $r_1 = i_1 m$. From now on we assume that j_1 is large enough that $j_1 > 3i_1$.

As before, let $s : S^1 \to S^1$ be given by $s(z) = z^2$. Let T_m be the two-valued local inverse of s^m defined on Q_0 with $T_m(\varphi^{-1}(F_2(f))) = \varphi^{-1}(F_2(f))$, and hence $T_m(Q_0) \subset Q_0$. Then, as in 2.4 and 2.6, we define j_1 to be the largest integer j such that $v_2(g) \in P^{jm}(g, f)$, that is, the largest integer j such that $P^{jm}(g, f) = P^{jm}(g, v_2) = P^{jm}(g)$. From now on we assume, by taking g sufficiently close to the closure of the hyperbolic component of f, that $j_1 \geq i_1$. Again as in 2.4 and 2.6, we define $n_1 = j_1 m$, and then

$$\varphi^{-1}(P^{n_1}(f)) \cap S^1 \subset Q_1 = T_m^{j_1 - i_1}(Q_0) \subset \varphi^{-1}(P^{n_1 - i_1 m}(f)),$$

where this gives the definition of Q_1. As in 2.6, we define m_2 to be the least integer $> n_1$ with $g^{m_2}(v_2(g)) \in P^{n_1-i_1m}(g)$. We then have a local inverse $S_{m_2,g}$ defined on $P^{n_1-i_1m}(g)$ mapping $g^{m_2}(v_2(g))$ to $v_2(g)$, and a corresponding two-valued local inverse $S_{m_2,h}$ of h^{m_2} for all $h \in V(P^0, \cdots P^{n_1}; f)$ including $h = f$. Since $v_2(g) \in P^{n_1}(g)$ we have $m_2 > n_1$ and since $j_1 > 3i_1$ we have

$$S_{m_2,h}(P^{n_1-i_1m}(h)) \subset P^{n_1}(h).$$

We have

$$f^j(S_{m_2,f}(P^{n_1}(f)) \cap P^{n_1}(f) = \emptyset, \quad 0 < j < m_2.$$

For $m_2 - i_1m \leq j < m_2$, we use the fact that $m_2 - j + n_1 > n_1$, and hence $f^j(S_{m_2,f}(P^{n_1}(f))$ must be contained in $P^{n_1-i_1m}(f) \setminus P^{n_1}(f)$. Now $S_{m_2,f}$ is two-valued on $P^{n_1}(f)$ and also on the connected set $S_{m_2,f}(P^{n_1}(f))$. Therefore there are two single-valued local inverses $S_{m_2,i,f}$ of f^{m_2} defined on $S_{m_2,f}(P^{n_1}(f))$, with image in $S_{m_2,f}(P^{n_1}(f))$, for $i = 1$, 2. and each of the sets

$$\bigcap_{n>0} S^n_{m_2,i,f} S_{m_2,f}(P^{n_1}(f))$$

contains a single point of period m_2. We call these points $z_{2,1}$ and $z_{2,2}$.

Lemma 3.6. *For at least one of $i = 1$ or 2 the one or two points in $\varphi^{-1}(z_{2,i}) \cap S^1$ are of period m_2 under s*

Proof. We write T_{m_2} for the two-valued local inverse defined on $\varphi^{-1}(P^{n_1-i_1m}(f))$, with

$$\varphi \circ T_{m_2} = S_{m_2,f} \circ \varphi.$$

In particular, T_{m_2} is defined on Q_1, and since $\varphi(Q_1)$ is connected, and the image under φ of each component of Q_1 intersects $P^{n_1}(f)$, we have $T_{m_2}(Q_1) \subset Q_1$. Since T_{m_2} is a two-valued contraction, there are exactly two points in Q_1 which are fixed under T_{m_2}, and thus have period m_2 under s. The images under φ of these points are fixed under f^{m_2} and hence must be the points $z_{2,i}$: either mapped to the same point $z_{2,i}$ or one to each of $z_{2,1}$ and$z_{2,2}$. So for at least one of $i = 1$ or 2, $\varphi^{-1}(z_{2,i}) \cap S^1$ consists of one or two points of period m_2 under s . □

3.7. From now on we fix $z_2 = z_{2,i}$ for one of $i = 1$, 2 so that $\varphi^{-1}(z_2) \cap S^1 \subset Q_1$ consists of one or two points of period m_2. We take one of these points to be $e^{2\pi i y_2}$. Choose a point $e^{2\pi i w_2}$ in the boundary of the minor gap of L_p, and in the same component of Q_1 as $e^{2\pi i y_2}$. Thus $s_{w_2} \amalg s_q$ is equivalent to a rational map h in the Mandelbrot set copy containing f_1. We write $f_{3/2}$ for the parabolic map on the boundary of the hyperbolic component containing h, where the parabolic point is fixed by $f^{km}_{3/2}$, if km is the period of $e^{2\pi i w_2}$

under s. There is a continuous map $\varphi_{3/2}$ such that $\varphi_{3/2}\circ(s_p \amalg s_q) = f_{3/2}\circ\varphi_{3/2}$ on $S^1 \cup L_p \cup L_q^{-1}$.

We have $\varphi_{3/2}(Q_1) \subset P^{n_1-r_1}(f_{3/2})$. Since $z(3/2) \in P^{n_1}(f_{3/2})$ we can find a path in $\varphi_{3/2}(Q_1)$ joining $z_2(3/2)$ to $\varphi_{3/2}(e^{2\pi i w_2})$. We have a path f_t for $t \in [3/2, t_{j_1-i_1}]$ with $t_{j_1-i_1} > 2$ such that

$$f_2(v_2(f_2)) = z_2(f_2),$$

$$f_t \in V(P^0, \cdots P^{n_1-i_1m}; f) = P^{n_1-i_1m}; f_{3/2}) \text{ for all } t \in [3/2, t_{j_1-i_1}],$$

and we can choose ε so that

$$f_{3/2+\varepsilon} \in V(P^0, \cdots P^{im}; f_{3/2}) \tag{3.7.1}$$

for i which can be taken arbitrarily large by taking ε arbitrarily close to 0. We can chose f_t for $t \in [3/2, 3/2+\varepsilon]$ also, so that (3.7.1) holds also for $t \in [3/2, 3/2+\varepsilon]$ replacing $3/2+\varepsilon$ by t. We can also choose f_t so that

$$[3/2+\varepsilon, 2] = \bigcup_{j=i-1}^{j_1-i_1} [t_{j+1}, t_j], \quad [3/2, 3/2+\varepsilon] = [t_{i+1}, t_i],$$

and

(3.7.2)

$$f_t \subset V(P^0, \cdots P^{jm}; f_{3/2}) \backslash V(P^0, \cdots P^{(j+1)m}; f_{3/2}), \ \ t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}], \ \ j_1 - i_1 < i.$$

Of course this implies that

$$f_{t_j} \in \partial V(P^0, \cdots P^{jm}; f_{3/2}), \quad j_1 - i_1 \le j \le i.$$

We have a homeomorphism ψ_t, $t \in [3/2, t_{j_1-i_1}]$, with $\psi_{3/2} =$ identity which maps $f_t^i(S_{m_2,f_t}(P^{jm}(f_t)))$ to $f_{3/2}^i(S_{m_2,f_{3/2}}(P^{jm}(f_{3/2})))$for $0 \le i < m_2$, and $j_1 - i_1 \le j \le j_1$ and $f_t^i(z_2(t))$ to $f_{3/2}^i(z_2(3/2))$ for $0 \le i < m_2$.We can also choose ψ_t so that

$$f_{3/2} \circ \psi_t = \psi_t \circ f_t \text{ on } f_t^{-1}(P^{n_1-i_1m}(f_t)), t \in [3/2, t_{j_1-i_1}]. \tag{3.7.3}$$

Note that ψ_t does not map $v_2(f_t)$ to $v_2(f_{3/2})$. In fact,

$$\beta(t) = \psi_t(v_2(f_t)), \quad t \in [3/2, 2]$$

is a path from $v_2(f_{3/2})$ to $z_2(3/2) \in P^{n_1}(f_{3/2})$ and

$$\zeta(t) = \psi_t(c_2(f_t))$$

is a path from $c_2(f_{3/2})$ to the periodic preimage of of $z_2(3/2)$ satisfying $f_{3/2} \circ \zeta = \beta$, by (3.7.3). We also write

$$\beta'(t) = \psi_t(v_2(f_t)), \quad t \in [3/2, t_{j_1-i_1}],$$

$$\beta_j = \beta' \mid [t_{j+1}, t_j], \ j_1 - i_1 \le j \le i,$$

$$\zeta'(t) = \psi_t(c_2(f_t)), \quad t \in [3/2, t_{j_1-i_1}].$$

Thus, β and ζ are sub-paths of β' and ζ'.



FIGURE 1. σ_γ

Then we have

(3.7.4)

$$f_{3/2}(\zeta'(t)) = f_{3/2}(\psi_t(c_2(f_t))) = \psi_t(f_t(c_2(f_t)) = \psi_t(v_2(f_t)) = \beta'(t), \ \ t \in [3/2, t_{j_1-i_1}].$$

In particular,

$$f_{3/2} \circ \beta = \zeta. \tag{3.7.5}$$

If $\gamma : [a, b] \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is an arc, then we define σ_γ to be a homeomorphism which is the identity outside a suitably small disc D_γ neighbourhood of γ and satisfies $\sigma_\gamma(\gamma(a)) = \gamma(b)$.

This does not define σ_γ uniquely but does define it up to isotopy preserving A, if $A \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is any set disjoint from D_γ, that is, disjoint from γ, and D_γ is sufficiently small. Similarly, if $\gamma = \gamma_1 * \cdots * \gamma_r$ is any path which can be written as a union of arcs γ_i, then we also define

$$\sigma_\gamma = \sigma\gamma_r \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{\gamma_1}.$$

Lemma 3.8.

$$f_2 \simeq \sigma_\zeta^{-1} \circ \sigma_\beta \circ f_{3/2}.$$

Proof. Define $\beta^t = \beta|[t, 2]$ and $\zeta^t = \zeta|[t, 2]$. Then

$$\sigma_{\zeta^t}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\beta^t} \circ \psi_t \circ f_t \circ \psi_t^{-1}$$

are homotopic postcritically-finite branched coverings, for $t \in [3/2, 2]$. The paths β^2 and ζ^2 are trivial, while $\beta^{3/2} = \beta$ and $\zeta^{3/2} = \zeta$, and $\psi_{3/2}$ is the identity. □

Now we are ready to complete the proof of the first step in the induction, with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9.

$$s_{y_2} \amalg s_q \simeq f_2. \tag{3.9.1}$$

Proof. Let α be the union of a path in the minor gap of L_p from the critical value of $s_p \amalg s_q$ to $e^{2\pi i w_2}$ and a path in Q_1 from $e^{2\pi i w_2}$ to $e^{2\pi i y_2}$. Let ω be a path from the critical point to the periodic preimage of $e^{2\pi i y_2}$ satisfying



FIGURE 2. The final arc on β and intersection with $S_m\beta$

$(s_p \amalg s_q)(\omega) = \alpha$. The path α passes through no point in the forward orbit of $e^{2\pi i y_2}$ before its endpoint, and similarly for the path ω. It follows immediately that

$$s_{y_2} \amalg s_q \simeq \sigma_\omega^{-1} \circ \sigma_\alpha \circ (s_p \amalg s_q).$$

We claim that $\varphi_{3/2} \circ \alpha$ and β are homotopic via a homotopy preserving endpoints and the forward orbit of $z_2(3/2) = \varphi_{3/2}(e^{2\pi i y_2})$, assuming that $\varphi_{3/2}$ is modified in the Fatou components to map critical points to critical points and critical values to critical values, and hence $\varphi_{3/2} \circ \omega$ and ζ are similarly homotopic. We see this as follows. Both β and $\varphi_{3/2}(\alpha)$ are paths in $P^{n_1-i_1m}(f_{3/2})$, with the same endpoints. In fact, β is a path in $P^{n_1}(f_{3/2})$ and the extension β' is in $P^{n_1-i_1m}(f_{3/2})$. From (3.7.2), we have

$$\beta' = \bigcup_{j=j_1-i_1}^{i} \beta_j,$$

where the sub-paths β_j are disjoint, except that β_j and β_{j+1} have a common endpoint. Also, β_{j+1} and $S_m\beta_j$ are homotopic for $j_1 i_1 \le j < i$, via a homotopy keeping within $P^{jm}(f_{3/2}) \setminus P^{(j+1)m}(f_{3/2})$ By definition we also have $T_m\alpha \subset \alpha$ and $S_m(\varphi_{3/2}(\alpha)) \subset \varphi_{3/2}(\alpha)$. By the definition of z_2, the points in the forward orbit of $z_2(3/2)$ which lie in $P^{n_1-i_1m}(f_{3/2})$ are precisely the points $f^{im}_{3/2}(z_2(3/2))$ for $0 \le i \le i_1$. So we need to see that the two paths $\varphi_{3/2}(\alpha)$ and β are homotopic via a homotopy which does not pass through these points in the forward orbit. This follows from the invariance property. Suppose that β and $\varphi_{3/2}(\alpha)$ are not homotopic in this way. Extend α to an invariant path α' still satisfying $T_m(\alpha') \subset \alpha'$ joining $e^{2\pi i w_2}$ to ∂Q_1. Then β must have transverse intersections with $\varphi_{3/2}(\alpha')$ which cannot be removed by homotopy. We concentrate on the final essential intersection, on β, of β with $\varphi_{3/2}(\alpha')$, before the endpoint of β at $z_2(3/2)$. The image under S_m of this final arc is bound to intersect itself.

It follows that β_{j_1} and $S_m(\beta_{j_1})$ have transverse intersections, which gives the required contradiction.

Since $\varphi_{3/2}$ can be approximated arbitrarily closely by homeomorphisms, we deduce that

$$\sigma_\omega^{-1} \circ \sigma_\alpha \circ (s_p \amalg s_q) \simeq \sigma_\zeta^{-1} \circ \sigma_\beta \circ f_{3/2},$$

and hence we have 3.9.1. □

This completes the first step in showing that f_2 is equivalent to a mating with s_q. The equivalence provides a continuous surjective map φ_2, apparoximated arbitrarily closely by homeomorphisms, with

$$\varphi_2 \circ (s_{y_2} \amalg s_q) = f_2 \circ \varphi_2.$$

3.10. Now we need to show that the inductive step works. So we need to choose rational maps f_ℓ, sets $Q_\ell \subset S^1$ and points $e^{2\pi i w_\ell}$, $e^{2\pi i y_\ell} \in Q_\ell$, a continuous surjective map φ_ℓ, approximated arbitrarily closely by homeomorphisms, where we will show that

$$\varphi_\ell \circ (s_{y_\ell} \amalg s_q) = f_\ell \circ \varphi_\ell.$$

We will have integers m_ℓ, j_ℓ, n_ℓ and r_ℓ, as in 2.6. We need to choose the integers r_ℓ appropriately. We have $r_1 = i_1 m$. As in the case $\ell = 1$, we want every component of Q_ℓ to intersect $\varphi_\ell^{-1}(F_2(f_\ell))$. We also want

$$\varphi_\ell^{-1}(P^{n_\ell}(f_\ell)) \subset Q_\ell \subset \varphi_\ell^{-1}(P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(f_\ell)). \tag{3.10.1}$$

We then need $m_{\ell+1} > r_\ell$, in order to obtain the property specified in 2.6:

$$n_{\ell+1} = j_{\ell+1} m_{\ell+1} + n_\ell - r_\ell > n_\ell.$$

In fact we will have $m_\ell > r_\ell$ for $\ell \geq 2$. In order to carry out the inductive step we shall need to take $P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)$ to be a bounded finite union of partition elements of $\mathcal{P}_{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)$ rather than a single set of $\mathcal{P}_{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)$, for $\ell = 2$, but for $\ell \geq 3$, $P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)$ will be a single set of $\mathcal{P}_{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)$. We will define $n_\ell - r_\ell$*shortly*.. Then as in 2.6 we define

$$P^{n_{\ell+1}}(h) = S^{j_{\ell+1}}_{m_{\ell+1},h}(P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)).$$

This means that for $\ell = 2$ and 3, $P^{n_{\ell+1}}(h))$ will be a bounded finite of sets of $\mathcal{P}_{n_{\ell+1}}(h)$ rather than a single set of $\mathcal{P}_{n_{\ell+1}}(h)$, . For all $\ell \geq 5$, $P^{n_\ell}(h)$ will be a single set of $\mathcal{P}_{n_\ell}(h)$

As in 2.6, we define j_ℓ, for all $\ell \geq 1$, to be the largest integer ≥ 1 such that $v_2(g) \in S^{j_\ell}_{m_\ell,g}(P^{n_{\ell-1} - r_{\ell-1}}(g))$, and then

$$n_\ell = n_{\ell-1} - r_{\ell-1} + j_\ell m_\ell$$

for $\ell \geq 2$. So

$$v_2(g) \in P^{n_\ell}(g) \setminus S_{m_\ell,g}(P^{n_\ell}(g)).$$

Then we define $m_{\ell+1}$, for $\ell \geq 2$, to be the smallest integer which is not $i m_\ell$ for some $0 \leq i \leq j_\ell$ and with $g^{m_{\ell+1}}(v_2(g)) \in P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}$.

Then $S_{m_{\ell+1},h}$ is the local inverse of $h^{m_{\ell+1}}$ which maps $P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)$ to $P^{n_\ell - r_\ell + m_{\ell+1}}(h)$, and

$$P^{n_{\ell+1}}(h) = S^{j_{\ell+1}}_{m_{\ell+1},h}(P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)).$$

We can then prove

$$f_{\ell+1} \simeq s_{y_{\ell+1}} \amalg s_q$$

exactly as in the case $\ell = 1$, in particular as in 3.10 – although for $\ell > 2$ the proof is easier, because we will only have $n_\ell - r_\ell < n_\ell - m_\ell$ for $\ell = 1$.

The last step in the proof of the inductive proof for $\ell+1$ is to obtain $Q_{\ell+1}$ and $r_{\ell+1}$ – which are then used in the proof for $\ell + 2$. For $\ell \geq 1$, that is, $\ell + 1 \geq 2$, the set $Q_{\ell+1}$ is defined in terms of a set $Q'_{\ell+1}$. We define $T_{m_{\ell+1}}$ to be the local inverse of $s^{m_{\ell+1}}$ which satisfies

$$\varphi_{\ell+1} \circ T_{m_{\ell+1}} = S_{m_{\ell+1},f_{\ell+1}} \circ \varphi_{\ell+1}.$$

Then we define

$$Q_{\ell+1} = T^{j_{\ell+1}}_{m_{\ell+1}}(Q'_{\ell+1})$$

So we need to define $Q'_{\ell+1}$. From the version of (3.10.1) for $\ell + 1$, we need $Q'_{\ell+1}$ to contain $\varphi^{-1}_{\ell+1}(P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(f_{\ell+1}))$. Note that

$$\varphi^{-1}_{\ell+1}(P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(f_{\ell+1})) = \varphi^{-1}_{\ell}(P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(f_{\ell})).$$

We need every component of $Q_{\ell+1}$ to intersect the minor gap of $L_{y_{\ell+1}}$, which means that we need this to be true for $Q'_{\ell+1}$ also. So $Q'_{\ell+1}$ is defined by adding to $\varphi^{-1}_{\ell}(P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(f_\ell)) \cap S^1$ shorter complementary components so that $Q'_{\ell+1}$ has at most two components.

In order to bound $Q'_{\ell+1}$ – and hence also bound $Q_{\ell+1}$ — we need s^i to be a homeomorphism on $Q'_{\ell+1}$ for $i < j_{\ell-1}m_{\ell-1}$ if $\ell \geq 3$ and for $i < (j_1 - i_1)m$ for $\ell = 2$. So we need f^i_ℓ to be a homeomorphism on $P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(f_\ell)$, for $i \leq j_{\ell-1}m_{\ell-1}$ if $\ell \geq 3$, and for $i \leq (j_1 - i_1)m$ if $\ell = 2$. In particular, we need $P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(f_\ell)$ to not contain $S_{m_{\ell-1},f_\ell}(P^{n_{\ell-1}}(f_\ell))$. In the case of $\ell = 2$, the only way to ensure this is to take $P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)$ to be a union of components of $P^{n_\ell + m\ell}(h)$, rather than a single set of $P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)$, for $\ell = 2$, 3.

3.11. **Definitions of numbers.** Now we make the definitions of the numbers, partly motivated by 3.12 below. We define

$$n_1 = j_1 m,$$

$$r_1 = i_1 m$$

for a suitable i_1. We assume that $j_1 > 3i_1$. For $\ell \geq 1$ we define

$$n_{\ell+1} = j_{\ell+1}m_{\ell+1} + (n_\ell - r_\ell),$$

We define

$$n_2 - r_2 = (j_2 - 1)m_2 + j_1 m - r_1,$$

$$n_3 - r_3 = j_3 m_3 + (j_1 - 2i_1)m$$

$$n_4 - r_4 = (j_4 - 1)m_4 + j_3 m_3 + j_1 m$$

for all $i \geq 4$.

Lemma 3.12. *For $\ell \geq 2$*

$$X \cap S_{m_{\ell-1},h}(P^{n_{\ell-1}}(h))) = \emptyset \tag{3.12.1}$$

where $X = P^{n_\ell}(h)$ or $S_{m_\ell,h}P^{n_{\ell-1}-r_{\ell-1}}(h)$ or $P^{n_\ell - r_\ell}(h)$ for $h = f_{\ell-1}$ or f_ℓ or $\varphi_{\ell-1}(Q_\ell)$ or $\varphi_\ell(Q'_{\ell+1})$.

Consequently $\varphi_{i-1}(Q_i) = \varphi_i(Q_i)$ is contained in a single set $P^{n_i-r_i}(f_i$ of $\mathcal{P}_{n_i-r_i}(f_i)$ for all $i \geq 3$

Proof. (3.12.1) is true by definition for $X = P^{n_\ell}(h)$, for all $\ell \geq 2$ and since $n_\ell > j_{\ell-1}m_{\ell-1}$, the second statement is certainly true and indeed $h^{j_{\ell-1}m_{\ell-1}}$ is a homeomorphism on X. It is tempting to enlarge $h^{j_{\ell-1}m_{\ell-1}}(X)$ to a set which is still disjoint from $P^0(h)$ and hence to obtain the other results, but with this choice it is not quite clear that $h^{j_{\ell-1}m_{\ell-1}}(X)$ is bounded from $P^0(h)$, so we proceed a little differently. We have

$$S_{m_\ell,h} = S^{j_{\ell-1}}_{m_{\ell-1},h} U_1 U_2$$

where U_1 is a local inverse of h^i for some $0 < i \leq m_{\ell-1}$, with domain including $U_2 P^0$, and

$$U_2 P^0 \subset h^{-1}(^{i+1}(S_{m_{\ell-1},h}(P^0)))) \setminus h^i(S_{m_{\ell-1},h}(P^0(h))).$$

So if $n = j_{\ell-1}m_{\ell-1} + i$ then we deduce that $h^n(X)$ is bounded from $h^i(P^0(h))$ for any of the choices of X, with $h = f_\ell$ or $f_{\ell-1}$, as appropriate, simply because we can assume by choice of partition that the set X is arbitrarily close to $h^i(P^{n_\ell}(h))$.

Now for the last statement of the lemma, we see that, since $P^{n_2-r_2}(h) \subset P^{(j_1-i_1)m}(h)] \setminus S_{m,h}P^{j_1 m}(h)$, both $P^{n_2-r_2}(h)$ and the set $\varphi_2(Q'_3)$, which is in a small neighbourhood of the former set, are mapped forward by $h^{(j_1-2i_1m)}$ into $P^0(h)$ and therefore we have

$$\varphi_2(Q'_3) \subset P^{(j_1-2i_1)m}(h).$$

It follows that

$$Q_3 \subset \varphi_2^{-1}(P^{n_3-r_3}(h)$$

for $n_3 - r_3 = j_3 m_3 + (j_1 - 2i_1)m$, as previously defined. We will see below that $n_3 - r_3 > n_2 - r_1 = n_2 - i_1 m$, and that $n_i - r_i > n_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 4$. So by the first part $f_i^{j_{i-1}m_{i-1}}$ maps $P^{n_i-r_i}(f_i)$, homeomorphically into $P^{n_{i-1}-j_{i-1}m_{i-1}}(f_i) = P^{n_{i-1}-r_{i-1}}$ if $i \geq 4$, and into $P^{n_2-r_2-r_1}(f_i)$ if $i = 3$. It

follows that $f_i^{j_{i-1}m_{i-1}}$ certainly maps $\varphi_i(Q'_{i+1})$ into $P^0(f_i)$ for all $i \geq 3$ and hence

$$Q'_{i+1} \subset \varphi_i^{-1}(P^{j_{i-1}m_{i-1}}(f_i))$$

and

$$Q_{i+1} \subset \varphi_i^{-1}(P^{n_{i+1}-r_{i+1}}(f_i))$$

for all $i \geq 3$, for $n_{i+1} - r_{i+1}$ as previously defined. □

Finally, we prove that the numbers m_i, n_i and r_i do have the properties that we need for the proof above.

Lemma 3.13. 1. *$m_{i+1} > j_i m_i$ for all $i \geq 1$.*
2. *$n_i - r_i + m_{i+1} > n_i$ for all $i \geq 1$, so that $n_{i+1} > n_i$.*
3. *$r_i < m_i$ for all $i \geq 2$.*
4. *$n_{i+1} - r_{i+1} > n_i - r_i$ for all $i \geq 1$.*
5. *$n_i - r_i > n_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 4$ and $n_3 - r_3 > n_2 - r_1$.*
6. *$m_{i+1} > j_i m_i + j_{i-2}m_{i-2}$ for $i \geq 3$. More generally,*

$$m_{i+1} > \begin{array}{ll} j_i m_i + j_{i-2}m_{i-2} + \cdots + j_1 m & \text{if} \quad i \quad \text{is odd} \\ j_i m_i + j_{i-2}m_{i-2} + \cdots + j_2 m_2 & \text{if} \quad i \quad \text{is even} \end{array}$$

Proof. 1. Since $f_{\ell+1}^{m_\ell}$ maps $P^{n_\ell - jm_\ell}(f_{\ell+1})$ to $P^{n_\ell-(j+1)m_\ell}(f_{\ell+1})$ for $0 \leq j < j_\ell$ and

$$f^{(j+1)m_\ell}(v_2(f_{\ell+1}) \in P^{n_\ell-(j+1)m_\ell}(f_{\ell+1}) \setminus P^{n_\ell - jm_\ell}(f_{\ell+1})$$

for $j < j_\ell$, we see that $m_{\ell+1} > j_\ell m_\ell > m_\ell$. Thus, m_ℓ is increasing with ℓ.

We see that $f_3^{j_2m_2}$ maps $P^{n_3}(f_3) \subset P^{n_2} \setminus S_{m_2}(P^{n_2})$ to $P^{n_1-r_1} \setminus P^{n_2}$

2. We have $n_1 - r_1 + m_2 > (2j_1 - i_1)m > n_2$, which gives $n_2 - r_2 + m_2 > n_1$.

Then $n_2 - r_2 + m_3 = j_2m_2 - r_1 + m_3 > 2j_2m_2 - r_1$. Meanwhile $n_2 = j_2m_2 + n_1 - r_1 = j_2m_2 + j_1m - r_1$ and so $n_2 < n_2 - r_2 + m_3$.

Then $n_3 - r_3 + m_4 = j_3m_3 + j_1m - 2r_1 + m_4 > 2j_3m_3 + j_1m - 2r_1$ and $n_3 = j_3m_3 + n_2 - r_2 = j_3m_3 + j_2m_2 - r_1$ and hence $n_3 - r_3 + m_4 > n_3$.

Then $n_4 - r_4 + m_5 = j_4m_4 + j_2m_2 + m_5 > 2j_4m_4 + j_2m_2$, while $n_4 = j_4m_4 + n_3 - r_3 = j_4m_4 + j_3m_3 + j_2m - 2r_1$, and hence $n_4 < n_4 - r_4 + m_5$.

For $i \geq 5$, we have $n_i - r_i + m_{i+1} = j_im_i + j_{i-2}m_{i-2} - 2r_1 + m_{i+1} > 2j_im_i + j_{i-2}m_{i-2} - 2r_1$, while $n_i = j_im_i + n_{i-1} - r_{i-1} = j_im_i + j_{i-1}m_{i-1} + j_{i-3}m_{i-3} - 2r_1$. So $n_i - r_i + m_{i+1} < n_i$ for all $i \geq 5$.

3. We have $n_2 - r_2 = j_2m_2 - r_1$ and $n_2 = j_2m_2 + n_1 - r_1$. Hence $r_2 = j_1m < m_2$.

We have $n_3 - r_3 = j_3m_3 + j_1m - 2r_1$ and $n_3 = j_3m_3 + n_2 - r_2 = j_3m_3 + j_2m_2 - r_1$. Hence $r_3 = j_2m_2 + r_1 - j_1m < m_3$.

We have $n_4 - r_4 = j_4m_4 + j_2m_2 - 2r_1$ and $n_4 = j_4m_4 + n_3 - r_3 = j_4m_4 + j_3m_3 + j_1m - 2r_1$. Hence $r_4 = j_3m_3 + j_1m - j_2m_2 < j_3m_3 < m_4$.

For $i \geq 5$ we have $n_i - r_i = j_i m_i + j_{i-2} m_{i-2} - 2r_1$ and $n_i = j_i m_i + n_{i-1} - r_{i-1} = j_i m_i + j_{i-1} m_{i-1} + j_{i-3} m_{i-3} - 2r_1$. So $r_i = j_{i-1} m_{i-1} + j_{i-3} m_{i-3} - j_{i-2} m_{i-2} < j_{i-1} m_{i-1} < m_i$.

4. It is immediate from $j_i m_i < m_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 1$, and from the formulae for $n_i - r_i$, that $n_i - r_i < n_{i+1} - r_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 1$.

5. $n_3 - r_3 = j_3 m_3 + j_1 m - 2r_1$ and $n_2 = j_2 m_2 + n_1 - r_1 = j_2 m_2 + j_1 m - r_1$. So we have $n_3 - r_3 > n_2 - r_1$ since $m_3 > j_2 m_2$.

For $i \geq 3$ we have $n_{i+1} - r_{i+1} = j_{i+1} m_{i+1} + j_{i-1} m_{i-1} - 2r_1$ and $n_i = j_i m_i + n_{i-1} - r_{i-1}$. For $i = 3$ this gives $n_4 - r_4 = j_4 m_4 + j_2 m_2 - 2r_1$ and $n_3 = j_3 m_3 + j_2 m_2 - r_1$ and hence $n_4 - r_4 > n_3$ provided that $m_4 > j_3 m_3 + r_1$, which is certainly true.

For $i \geq 4$ we have $n_{i+1} - r_{i+1} = j_{i+1} m_{i+1} + j_{i-1} m_{i-1} - 2r_1$ and $n_i = j_i m_i + j_{i-1} m_{i-1} + j_{i-3} m_{i-3} - 2r_1$. So we obtain the result provided that $m_{i+1} > j_i m_i + j_{i-3} m_{i-3}$ which will follow from 6.

6. For $i \geq 3$ we have $P^{n_{i+1}}(f_{i+1})$ mapped to $f_{i+1}^{j_i m_i}(P^{n_{i+1}}(f_{i+1})) \subset P^{n_{i-1} - r_{i-1}}(f_i)$ by $f_{i+1}^{j_i m_i}$. Now $P^{n_{i-1}}(f_{i+1})) \subset P^{n_{i-2}}(f_{i+1}) \setminus S_{m_{i-2}}(P^{n_{i-2}}(f_{i+1}))$ by 3.12 and hence is mapped disjointly from itself by f_{i+1}^t for $t \leq j_{i-2} m_{i-2}$. As, for $i \geq 3$, we also have

$$P^{n_{i-1} - r_{i-1}}(f_{i+1})) \subset P^{n_{i-2}}(f_{i+1}) \setminus S_{m_{i-2}}(P^{n_{i-2}}(f_{i+1}))$$

then we deduce $m_{i+1} > j_i m_i + j_{i-2} m_{i-2}$. The more general statements about $m_{i+1} > j_i m_i + j_{i-2} m_{i-2} + \cdots$ are proved similarly.

□

□

References

[1] Ahlfors, L., Quasiconformal reflections, Acta Math., 109 (1963), 291-301.

[2] Aspenberg, M. and Yampolsky, M., Mating non-renormalisable quadratic polynomials, Comm. Math. Phys. 287 (2009), 1-40.

[3] Bowen, Rufus, Markov partitions for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, Amer. J. Math. 92 (1970) 725-747.

[4] Bowen, Rufus, Markov partitions are not smooth, Proc. AMS 71 (1978) 130-132.

[5] Carleson, L. and Gamelin, T.D., Complex Dynamics, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[6] Douady, A. and Hubbard, J.H., Etudes dynamiques des polynômes complexes, avec la collaboration de P. Lavaurs, Tan Lei, P. Sentenac. Parts I and II, Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, 1985.

[7] Douady, A. and Hubbard, J.H., On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings. Ann. Sci.École Norm. Sup. (4) 18 (1985) 287-343.

[8] Douady, A. and Hubbard, J.H., A proof of Thurston's topological characterization of rational functions. Acta Math., 171 (1993), 263-297.

[9] Dudko, D., Mating with Laminations, arXiv:1112.4780

[10] Kiwi, J. and Rees, M., Counting Hyperbolic Components, arXiv:1003.6104, to appear in J. London Math. Soc..
[11] Lehto, O., Univalent Functions and Teichmüller spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1987
[12] Lehto, O. and Virtanen, K.I., Quasiconformal mappings in the plane, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 126 (2nd edition) Springer-Verlag.
[13] Ma Liangang, Continuity of Quadratic Matings, thesis, University of Liverpool, 2015
[14] Mané, R., Sad., P., and Sullivan, D., On the dynamics of rational maps, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., 4e, Série 16 (1983), 193-217.
[15] Przytycki, F. and Urbanski, M., Conformal Fractals: Ergodic Theory Methods LMS Lecture Note Series 371, CUP 2010.
[16] Rees, M., Persistent Markov partitions for rational maps. arXiv:1306.6166.pdf
[17] Rees, M., A Fundamental domain for V_3. Memoirs de la Société Mathematique de France vol 121 (2010) pp1-139.
[18] Rees, M., Views of Parameter Space, Topographer and Resident. Astérisque, 288 (2003).
[19] Rees, M., A Partial Description of the Parameter space of Rational Maps of Degree Two: Part 1. Acta Math., 168 (1992), 11-87.
[20] Rees, M., A Partial Description of the Parameter space of Rational Maps of Degree Two: Part 2. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 70 (1995), 644-690.
[21] Roesch, P., Puzzles de Yoccoz pur les applications à l'allure rationelle, l'Enseignement Mathé matique 45 (1999) 133-168.
[22] Shub, M., Endomorphisms of compact differentiable manifolds, Amer J. Math. 91 (1969) 175-199.
[23] Slodkowski, Z., Holomorphic motions and polynomial hulls, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), no, 2, 347-355.
[24] Stimson, J., Degree two rational maps with a periodic critical point. Thesis, University of Liverpool, July 1993.
[25] Tan Lei: Matings of Quadratic Polynomials. Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., 12 (1992), 589-620.
[26] Thurston, W.P., On the Combinatorics of Iterated Rational Maps. Complex dynamics: families and friends, J.H. Hubbard 60th birthday volume, A.K. Peters, 2009, 3-109. Editorial notes by D. Schleicher and N. Selinger. Article originally appeared as a Princeton University and I.A.S. preprint in 1985.
[27] Wittner, B., On the bifurcation loci of rational maps of degree two. Thesis, Cornell University, 1988.